

A2
5. The tape product of claim 1 wherein the oriented plastic film comprises a polymer selected from the group consisting of polypropylene, polyethylene and polyester, and wherein the paper layer comprises Kraft paper.

A3
7. The tape product of claim 6 wherein the water-based adhesive comprises a copolymer selected from the group consisting of an acrylic copolymer composition and a polyvinyl acetate copolymer.

8. The tape product of claim 1 wherein the pressure-sensitive adhesive comprises an adhesive selected from the group consisting of a rubber, a vinyl rubber, a polyvinyl ether, a polyvinyl butyral, a polyisobutylene or an acrylic.

A4
14. The tape product of claim 1 wherein the oriented plastic film layer comprises first and second opposed surfaces, wherein both said first and said second plastic film layer surfaces are corona discharge treated, wherein one said corona discharge surface is adhesively cold laminated to said paper layer and wherein the remaining, unlaminated surface of said plastic film layer is provided with a layer of a release agent.

15. The tape product of claim 1 wherein the oriented plastic film layer further comprises a second surface, opposed to said first, corona-discharge treated surface, and wherein said second surface is not corona discharge treated to prevent adhesion to an adjacent overlapping layer of the tape product when said tape product is wound on a pull and tear tape dispenser.

REMARKS

Claims 1-15, as amended, appear in this application for the Examiner's review and consideration. Claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 14 and 15 have been amended as described below to further define the invention recited therein. As no new matter has been entered by these amendments, they all should be entered at this time.

Claim 7 and 8 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite as to the allegedly improper Markush language. While applicants' previous language was not improper, applicants have amended these claims to omit the word "excludes" and to